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## APPENDIX: SIMULATION DETAILS

"A scrutiny so minute as to bring an object under an
untrue angle of vision, is a poorer guide to a man's judgment than a sweeping glance which sees things in their true proportion."
—Alexander Kinglake, The Invasion of the Crimea (1863)
This appendix contains the details of the simulations. You can live a long and fruitful life without reading this part. But I know there are some who won't be satisfied without it. So here it is.

## Assumptions

1. The historical simulation results do not represent the results of actual trading and may not reflect the impact that material economic and market factors may have had on an investor's decision if the investor was actually managing money. The simulated results were achieved through the retroactive application of a model designed with the benefit of hindsight. No investment strategy or risk-management technique can guarantee return or eliminate risk in any market environment.
2. In the simulation, Standard \& Poor's Compustat database was used as a source for all information about companies and securities for the entire simulated time period. From 1987 to 2017, Compustat's Snapshot (point-in-time) database was used such that the simulation processed financial data concurrent with the time that the financial data became available to the public. Prior to 1987 , the period when the timing of company financial data releases has been less comprehensively cataloged, the simulations assume that financial data was not available to investors until ninety days following the end of the applicable fiscal quarter.
3. The simulations were restricted to nonfinancial companies listed on the NYSE, NASDAQ, and AMEX stock exchanges.
4. Companies in the investable universe were ranked by earnings yield and return on invested capital (ROIC). In each of the simulations, these ranks were combined by a specific weighting of earnings yield and ROIC.
5. To minimize the potential impact, positive or negative, of market timing and to show how an equally weighted thirtyposition portfolio might have performed at each point in time, the portfolios were rebalanced monthly to equally weight the thirty securities in each portfolio.
6. The purchase- and sale-prices for a security were the volume-weighted average closing price for the security over the first ten trading days of each month. The simulations assumed a trading cost of $\$ 0.01$ per share. The simulations also assumed a maximum participation of 10 percent of a target holding's daily volume over the ten-day trading window.
7. The simulation performance does not reflect the
deduction of any investment advisory fees.
8. Simulated performance results have certain inherent limitations. No representation is being made that any model or model mix will achieve performance similar to that shown. Simulated performance and actual prior performance provide no guarantee of future performance.

## \$50 Million and Greater

Yearly Returns (1973 to 2017)

| S\&P <br> $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | Magic <br> Formula | Acquirer's <br> Multiple |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1973 | $-16.8 \%$ | $-48.6 \%$ | $-37.0 \%$ |
| 1974 | $-20.3 \%$ | $-23.6 \%$ | $-17.2 \%$ |
| 1975 | $31.0 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ |
| 1976 | $1.2 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ |
| 1977 | $-12.5 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ |
| 1978 | $12.0 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ |
| 1979 | $14.2 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $43.2 \%$ |
| 1980 | $13.5 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ |
| 1981 | $-7.1 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| 1982 | $20.7 \%$ | $35.3 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ |
| 1983 | $12.5 \%$ | $48.0 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ |
| 1984 | $9.9 \%$ | $-10.4 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| 1985 | $17.9 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | $46.7 \%$ |
| 1986 | $29.4 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ |
| 1987 | $-6.2 \%$ | $-11.5 \%$ | $-12.6 \%$ |
| 1988 | $15.7 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ |
| 1989 | $10.6 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| 1990 | $4.5 \%$ | $-5.8 \%$ | $-24.5 \%$ |
| 1991 | $18.9 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ |
| 1992 | $7.3 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ |
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| 1993 | 9.8\% | 1.1\% | 17.8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | -2.3\% | 6.6\% | -10.8\% |
| 1995 | 35.2\% | 20.5\% | 23.2\% |
| 1996 | 23.6\% | 32.7\% | 28.7\% |
| 1997 | 24.7\% | 13.5\% | 30.0\% |
| 1998 | 30.5\% | 1.8\% | -5.8\% |
| 1999 | 9.0\% | 18.4\% | 22.9\% |
| 2000 | -2.0\% | 23.9\% | 24.8\% |
| 2001 | -17.3\% | 32.5\% | 57.3\% |
| 2002 | -24.3\% | 26.1\% | 4.7\% |
| 2003 | 32.2\% | 65.4\% | 84.9\% |
| 2004 | 4.4\% | 31.5\% | 36.5\% |
| 2005 | 8.4\% | 8.0\% | 3.6\% |
| 2006 | 12.4\% | 11.6\% | 25.9\% |
| 2007 | -4.2\% | -1.8\% | -11.7\% |
| 2008 | -40.1\% | -40.4\% | -29.3\% |
| 2009 | 30.0\% | 51.6\% | 91.0\% |
| 2010 | 19.8\% | 15.8\% | 44.2\% |
| 2011 | 2.0\% | -6.1\% | -21.2\% |
| 2012 | 14.1\% | 3.8\% | 6.4\% |
| 2013 | 19.0\% | 50.9\% | 31.5\% |
| 2014 | 11.9\% | 6.9\% | 5.2\% |
| 2015 | -2.7\% | -19.5\% | -13.2\% |
| 2016 | 17.5\% | 22.4\% | 29.5\% |
| 2017 Q1 | 4.6\% | 3.1\% | -1.0\% |
| Average | 7.1\% | 16.2\% | 18.5\% |

\$10,000 Invested in S\&P 500, Pure Charlie, Magic Formula, and Acquirer's Multiple (1973 to 2017) Log

\$50 Million and Greater, Thirty Stocks
\$50 Million Sample Statistics (1973 to 2017)

|  | Pure <br> Charlie | Magic <br> Formula | Acquirer's <br> Multiple | S\&P <br> $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ <br> TR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Return | $15.1 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| Standard <br> Dev. | $19.4 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ |
| Tracking <br> Error | $11.8 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Max <br> Drawdown | $69.5 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $51.2 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ |
| Sharpe <br> Ratio | 0.53 | 0.50 | 0.59 | 0.36 |


| Sortino <br> Ratio | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.33 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CAPM | $4.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Alpha | 1.02 | 1.12 | 1.10 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| CAPM Beta | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.74 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Correlation <br> w S\&P 500 <br> TR | 0 |  |  |  |

## \$200 Million and Greater

## Yearly Returns (1973 to 2017)

$\left.$|  | S\&P |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 500 |  | | Magic |
| ---: |
| Formula | | Acquirer's |
| :---: |
| Multiple | \right\rvert\,


| 1991 | $18.9 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 | $7.3 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |
| 1993 | $9.8 \%$ | $-4.6 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| 1994 | $-2.3 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| 1995 | $35.2 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ |
| 1996 | $23.6 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ |
| 1997 | $24.7 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ |
| 1998 | $30.5 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | $-4.6 \%$ |
| 1999 | $9.0 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| 2000 | $-2.0 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ |
| 2001 | $-17.3 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ |
| 2002 | $-24.3 \%$ | $20.1 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ |
| 2003 | $32.2 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ |
| 2004 | $4.4 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | $40.9 \%$ |
| 2005 | $8.4 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| 2006 | $12.4 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| 2007 | $-4.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $-10.0 \%$ |
| 2008 | $-40.1 \%$ | $-37.3 \%$ | $-32.1 \%$ |
| 2009 | $30.0 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ |
| 2010 | $19.8 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ |
| 2011 | $2.0 \%$ | $-2.7 \%$ | $-11.5 \%$ |
| 2012 | $14.1 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| 2013 | $19.0 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ | $42.1 \%$ |
| 2014 | $11.9 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| 2015 | $-2.7 \%$ | $-15.2 \%$ | $-6.6 \%$ |
| 2016 | $17.5 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |
| 2017 Q1 | $4.6 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $-2.8 \%$ |
| Average | $7.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5 \%}$ |

\$10,000 Invested in S\&P 500, Pure Charlie, Magic Formula, and Acquirer's Multiple (1973 to 2017) Log

\$200 Million and Greater, Thirty Stocks (1973 to 2017)
\$200 Million Sample Statistics (1973 to 2017)
S\&P

|  | Pure Charlie | Magic <br> Formula | Acquirer's Multiple | $\begin{gathered} \text { S\&P } \\ 500 \\ \text { TR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Return | 14.8\% | 17.2\% | 17.5\% | 10.3\% |
| Standard Dev. | 19.3\% | 21.8\% | 22.4\% | 15.3\% |
| Tracking Error | 11.1\% | 13.6\% | 14.4\% | N/A |
| Max <br> Drawdown | 66.9\% | 56.4\% | 54.5\% | 50.9\% |
| Sharpe <br> Ratio | 0.52 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.36 |


| Sortino <br> Ratio | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.33 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAPM | $3.9 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Alpha | 1.05 | 1.13 | 1.15 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| CAPM Beta |  |  |  |  |
| Correlation <br> w S\&P 500 <br> TR | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.78 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## \$1 Billion and Greater

Yearly Returns (1973 to 2017)

S\&P 500 \begin{tabular}{cc}

Magic \& | Acquirer's |
| :---: |
| Formula | <br>

Multiple
\end{tabular}

| 1973 | $-16.8 \%$ | $-33.9 \%$ | $-31.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1974 | $-20.3 \%$ | $-21.4 \%$ | $-17.3 \%$ |
| 1975 | $31.0 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ |
| 1976 | $1.2 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ |
| 1977 | $-12.5 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ |
| 1978 | $12.0 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ |
| 1979 | $14.2 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ | $47.1 \%$ |
| 1980 | $13.5 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ |
| 1981 | $-7.1 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| 1982 | $20.7 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ |
| 1983 | $12.5 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ |
| 1984 | $9.9 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $20.7 \%$ |
| 1985 | $17.9 \%$ | $40.3 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ |
| 1986 | $29.4 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ |
| 1987 | $-6.2 \%$ | $-3.1 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| 1988 | $15.7 \%$ | $28.0 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ |
| 1989 | $10.6 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| 1990 | $4.5 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $-7.9 \%$ |
| 1991 | $18.9 \%$ | $50.4 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ |
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| 1992 | $7.3 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1993 | $9.8 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| 1994 | $-2.3 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ |
| 1995 | $35.2 \%$ | $38.5 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ |
| 1996 | $23.6 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| 1997 | $24.7 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |
| 1998 | $30.5 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $-0.3 \%$ |
| 1999 | $9.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| 2000 | $-2.0 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| 2001 | $-17.3 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ |
| 2002 | $-24.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $-2.9 \%$ |
| 2003 | $32.2 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ |
| 2004 | $4.4 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $36.8 \%$ |
| 2005 | $8.4 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ |
| 2006 | $12.4 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ |
| 2007 | $-4.2 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| 2008 | $-40.1 \%$ | $-43.0 \%$ | $-44.2 \%$ |
| 2009 | $30.0 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ |
| 2010 | $19.8 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ |
| 2011 | $2.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| 2012 | $14.1 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| 2013 | $19.0 \%$ | $54.2 \%$ | $47.4 \%$ |
| 2014 | $11.9 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ |
| 2015 | $-2.7 \%$ | $-8.8 \%$ | $-11.6 \%$ |
| 2016 | $17.5 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ |
| 2017 Q 1 | $4.6 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Average | $7.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9 \%}$ |

\$10,000 Invested in S\&P 500, Pure Charlie, Magic Formula, and Acquirer's Multiple (1973 to 2017) Log

\$1 Billion and Greater, Thirty Stocks
\$1 Billion Sample Statistics (1973 to 2017)

|  | Pure <br> Charlie | Magic <br> Formula | Acquirer's <br> Multiple | S\&P <br> 500 <br> TR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Return | $13.7 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | | Standard |
| :--- |
| Dev. |
| Tracking <br> Error |
| Max <br> Drawdown |
| Sharpe <br> Ratio |

## TOBIAS E. CARLISLE

| Sortino <br> Ratio | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 0.33 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CAPM | $2.5 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Alpha | 1.12 | 1.13 | 1.13 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| CAPM Beta | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.81 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Correlation <br> w S\&P 500 <br> TR | 0.8 |  |  |  |

